Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory for limit cycle systems

Tirth Shah,[1, a)] Rohitashwa Chattopadhyay,[2, b)] and Sagar Chakraborty[2, c)]
[1)] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu 600036, India*
[2)] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India*

Usage of a Hamiltonian perturbation theory for nonconservative system is counterintuitive and in general, a technical impossibility by definition. However, the dual (time independent) Hamiltonian formalism for nonconservative systems have opened the door for using various Hamiltonian (and hence, Lagrangian) perturbation theories for investigating the dynamics of such systems. Following the recent extension of the canonical perturbation theory that brings Liénard systems possessing limit cycles under its scope, here we show that the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory can also be generalized to find perturbative solutions for similar systems. The Lie transform perturbation theories are comparatively easier while seeking higher order corrections in the perturbative series for the solutions and they are also numerically implementable using any symbolic algebra package. For the sake of concreteness, we have illustrated the methodology using the important example of the van der Pol oscillator. While the development of the method itself is our main result, the clever handling of initial conditions for the equations of motion corresponding to the relevant dual Hamiltonian is the central-most interesting idea of this paper. In fact, the choice of initial conditions has been shown to be useful in bypassing the difficult problem of small denominators for the class of problems in hand.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 45.20.Jj, 45.10.Hj

arXiv:1610.05218v1 [math-ph] 17 Oct 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Hamilton's principle of stationary action has a subtle advantage over some other action principles (e.g., Maupertuis principle): it does not require energy to be conserved over the paths for which actions are calculated and extremized to arrive at the equations of motion. This, in principle, allows for writing Lagrangians for nonconservative systems and connecting them with corresponding equations of motions via the principle of stationary action. Only problem is while for a conservative system there is a general prescription of writing Lagrangian (viz., the Lagrangian is the difference between the potential and the kinetic energies of the system), no such general prescription is available otherwise. But researchers have found some such Lagrangians and hence their Legendre duals, Hamiltonians, e.g., Caldirola–Kanai Hamiltonian[1,2] for damped simple harmonic oscillator, Hamiltonians for dissipative nonlinear oscillators via Jacobi last multiplier method[3,4] and via extended Prelle–Singer method[5,6], etc. All these are either time-dependent Hamiltonians or are time independent but globally not defined in the corresponding phase spaces. With a view to writing time independent globally defined Hamiltonians for general nonlinear systems and subsequently investigating the issue of quantization of such systems, one can improvise on the Bateman's dual Hamiltonian[7] for the damped harmonic oscillator to propose a scheme[8] for writing Hamiltonians for Liénard systems[9]. Such a scheme can be formalized by the use of Hamilton's principle with initial conditions[10]. However, this formalism has a caveat that in order to write the Hamiltonian the phase space of the system under consideration is extended such that there are double the original number of canonically conjugate variables. As a consequence, the Hamiltonian leads to two coupled equations of motion that includes an auxiliary equation of motion describing the evolution of those variables which are not a part of the original system. In general, the auxiliary equation of motion unfortunately modifies the original equation of motion.

In this paper, however, *we put this caveat to good use by inventing an oxymoronic perturbation scheme*. In this scheme the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory[11–13] has been employed to find the amplitude and the frequency perturbatively for an oscillatory state of a *nonconservative* Liénard system. The preceding sentence appears counterintuitive because the perturbation theory is, by definition and construction, meant to be explicitly used only for *conservative* Hamiltonian systems. For concreteness, we illustrate the scheme using the paradigmatic van der Pol oscillator (vdPO) which is a Liénard system that possesses a stable limit cycle solution by virtue of its nonlinear and dissipative nature.

[a)] Electronic mail: ph12b007@smail.iitm.ac.in
[b)] Electronic mail: crohit@iitk.ac.in
[c)] Electronic mail: sagarc@iitk.ac.in

Another such perturbation method specific to Hamiltonian systems, viz., canonical perturbation theory (see, e.g.,[14]), has recently been generalized to accommodate the vdPO[8] in its scope (see also[15,16]). The main idea is to choose the initial conditions pertaining to the auxiliary equation to the vdPO — termed auxiliary van der Pol oscillator — in such a way that the inherent problem of small denominators is avoided order by order upto second order in nonlinearity. Such a liberty with the auxiliary vdPO is possible because its unidirectional coupling with the vdPO makes it dynamically inconsequential as far as the evolution of the vdPO is concerned. But there are at least two practical limitations of this generalization: i) the method becomes analytically intractable as results for higher orders in nonlinearity are sought, and ii) even if the higher order calculations are addressed by brute force, the notorious problem of small denominators makes the method useless beyond second order. Also, it is generally suggested[17] that, compared to the canonical perturbation theory, Lie transform perturbation theory is better suited to calculate higher order corrections to unperturbed frequency. Consequently, in this paper we are motivated to study the vdPO perturbatively using the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory.

Lie transform perturbation theory, which is based on the principle of method of variation of parameters and method of averaging, has been used widely in the field of celestial mechanics [18,19] and plasma physics[20–22]. Lie transforms have also been used to transform a Lagrangian to derive the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations of motion[23]. The algorithm for the Lie transform perturbation theory is easily adopted for any symbolic algebra package[24]. It is also worthwhile to note the method is not only helpful in developing a robust technique in classical perturbation theory but also finds applications in quantum adiabatic processes[25] and semi-classical treatment of non-Hermitian systems [26].

The Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory is a specific type of Lie transform perturbation theory wherein, like in canonical perturbation theory, canonically conjugate variables of the Hamiltonian systems are explicitly put into use. Its variational formulation has also been developed[27]. It may be mentioned that the symplectic structure of Hamiltonian systems has been utilized in developing other methods, e.g., one that handle strongly perturbed systems[28]. Although debatable[29,30], it is generally believed that Hamiltonian perturbation theories are advantageous in comparison to non-Hamiltonian perturbation theories because in contrast to finding normal forms of vector fields in the later, one only has to formally deal with a scalar function (Hamiltonian) in the former.

Without further ado, we now employ the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory on vdPO and discuss in fairly detailed fashion how the Hamiltonian perturbation theory can be employed for the dissipative system.

II. LIE TRANSFORM HAMILTONIAN PERTURBATION THEORY FOR THE vdPO

The vdPO can be mathematically described by the following second order differential equation:

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \varepsilon \left[x(t)^2 - 1\right] \dot{x}(t) + \omega^2 x(t) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where ω can be considered as the unperturbed frequency when the nonlinearity is absent i.e., $\varepsilon = 0$. It possesses a limit cycle of amplitude 2 units and frequency ω for small positive ε. Naturally, the presence of the attractor means that the system is dissipative and cannot be described globally by a time independent Hamiltonian. However, by extending the phase space of the vdPO by including a unidirectionally driven *auxiliary system* mathematically given by

$$\ddot{y}(t) - \varepsilon \left[x(t)^2 - 1\right] \dot{y}(t) + \omega^2 y(t) = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

Note that Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) describe how a phase point $(x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y})$ moves in a four-dimensional Eucledian space; the projection of the corresponding phase trajectory on $(x, \dot{x})$ plane represents the solution of the vdPO. Most importantly the auxiliary vdPO equation (Eq. (2)) is *dynamically inconsequential* for our purpose as it does not give any feedback to the vdPO. Both the equations for the vdPO and the auxiliary vdPO are obtainable from the following single Hamiltonian:

$$H\left(x, y, p_x, p_y\right) = p_x p_y + \omega^2 xy + \varepsilon \left(x^2 - 1\right) y p_y\,. \tag{3}$$

Here, the corresponding Lagrangian is $L = \dot{x}\dot{y} - \omega^2 xy - \varepsilon \left(x^2 - 1\right) \dot{x}y$ and hence the generalised momenta are given by $p_x = \partial L/\partial \dot{x}$ and $p_y = \partial L/\partial \dot{y}$.

It must be remarked that the idea of introducing auxiliary equation is a well-established concept. In fact, it can be shown[16] that any n-dimensional non-conservative motion can be imbedded in a $2n$-dimensional space to arrive at a canonical structure for the extended system. Specifically, if there is an arbitrary n-dimensional autonomous system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ ($\mathbf{x}$ being the position vector of a phase point and $\mathbf{f}$ being a smooth phase velocity vector field), it can be augmented by introducing another coupled n-dimensional equation $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = -[\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})/\partial \mathbf{x}]\mathbf{y}$ so that the entire $2n$-dimensional system admits a Hamiltonian, $H = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ ($\mathbf{y}^T$, is transpose of $\mathbf{y}$). *However, such an extended system*

and, thus, its Hamiltonian are not unique. Though this Hamiltonian when used for the perturbative analysis of the vdPO doesn't explicitly encounter the problem of small denominators, the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3) does. The main advantage of the latter Hamiltonian is that it can be seen as an extension of Bateman Hamiltonian which forms the basis of several attempts[31,32] of developing a formalism of quantizing dissipative systems. Hence, how to use this particular Hamiltonian for carrying out the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory while confronting the problem of small denominators is not only an open problem but also of important research interest.

Coming back to our main calculations, we now perform a canonical transformation $(x, y, p_x, p_y) \to (X, Y, P_X, P_Y)$ using a generating function $F_2(x, y, P_X, P_Y) = P_X(x+y)/\sqrt{2} + P_Y(x-y)/\sqrt{2}$ to write the unperturbed part of Hamiltonian in terms of a Hamiltonian resembling the one for two uncoupled harmonic oscillators. The new Hamiltonian $H(X, Y, P_X, P_Y)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y, P_X, P_Y) = \frac{P_X^2}{2} + \omega^2 \frac{x^2}{2} - \left(\frac{P_Y^2}{2} + \omega^2 \frac{Y^2}{2}\right) \\ + \varepsilon \left(\frac{P_X - P_Y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(\frac{X - Y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}(X+Y)^2 - 1\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory, the Hamiltonian of Eq. (4) is initially written in terms of $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}\right)$ coordinates which are obtained by solving the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for the unperturbed ($\varepsilon = 0$) Hamiltonian. The new variables $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}\right)$ are related to old variables (X, Y, P_X, P_Y) by the following relations:

$$X = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha_1^{(0)}}}{\omega} \sin\left[\omega\left(t + \beta_1^{(0)}\right)\right], \tag{5a}$$

$$Y = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha_2^{(0)}}}{\omega} \sin\left[\omega\left(t - \beta_2^{(0)}\right)\right], \tag{5b}$$

$$P_X = \sqrt{2\alpha_1^{(0)}} \cos\left[\omega\left(t + \beta_1^{(0)}\right)\right], \tag{5c}$$

$$P_Y = -\sqrt{2\alpha_2^{(0)}} \cos\left[\omega\left(t - \beta_2^{(0)}\right)\right]. \tag{5d}$$

The transformed Hamiltonian $H\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}, t\right)$ thus is expressible as a formal series,

$$H\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}, t\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} H_n, \tag{6}$$

where $H_i = \delta_{i1} H_1$, δ_{i1} being the standard Kronecker delta. A near-identity transformation is introduced from the variables $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}\right)$ to another set of variables $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Let the generating function of infinitesimal canonical transformation, $S(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \beta_2, t)$, result in Hamiltonian $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t)$. We also write

$$K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n \frac{K_n}{n!}, \tag{7a}$$

$$S(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n \frac{S_{n+1}}{n!}. \tag{7b}$$

Upto $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^4\right)$, i.e. fourth order in ε, H_n and K_n are related as [33]:

$$K_0 = H_0 = 0, \tag{8a}$$

$$K_1 = H_1 - \frac{DS_1}{Dt}, \tag{8b}$$

$$K_2 = H_2 + \hat{L}_1 H_1 + \hat{G}_1 K_1 - \frac{DS_2}{Dt}, \tag{8c}$$

$$K_3 = H_3 + \hat{L}_1 H_2 + 2\hat{L}_2 H_1 + 2\hat{G}_1 K_2 + \hat{G}_2 K_1 - \frac{DS_3}{Dt}, \tag{8d}$$

$$K_4 = H_4 + \hat{L}_1 H_3 + 3\hat{L}_2 H_2 + 3\hat{L}_3 H_1 + 3\hat{G}_1 K_3 + 3\hat{G}_2 K_2 + \hat{G}_3 K_1 - \frac{DS_4}{Dt}. \tag{8e}$$

Here

$$\frac{DS_n}{Dt} = \frac{\partial S_n}{\partial t} - \hat{L}_n H_0\,; \quad n \geq 1, \tag{9a}$$

$$\hat{L}_n f = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_i}\frac{\partial S_n}{\partial \alpha_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}\frac{\partial S_n}{\partial \beta_i}, \tag{9b}$$

$$\hat{G}_1 = \hat{L}_1, \tag{9c}$$

$$\hat{G}_2 = \hat{L}_2 - \hat{L}_1^2, \tag{9d}$$

$$\hat{G}_3 = \hat{L}_3 - \hat{L}_1\left(\hat{L}_2 - \hat{L}_1^2\right) - 2\hat{L}_2\hat{L}_1. \tag{9e}$$

In Eqs. (8b)-(8e), K_n and S_n are unknowns.

According to the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory, K_n is chosen to contain long-period terms, while S_n contains short-period terms. Here, long and short periods are with respect to the period of the oscillatory dynamics described by the unperturbed Hamiltonian. A standard procedure[13] is employed to obtain K_n and S_n, e.g., in Eq. (8b) K_1 is obtained by averaging the equation over the time period of the unperturbed oscillatory state. Consequently, S_1 is obtained after solving the first order partial differential equation that results on putting the value of K_1 back in Eq. (8b). The final objective of the method is to make the transformed Hamiltonian integrable, meaning K should be a function of only α_1 and α_2. However, as illustrated in Appendix A, if any resonance condition is satisfied by the Hamiltonian (e.g., two constituent frequencies of the unperturbed part are same), the problem of small denominators appear making S_1 (for that matter any S_n) divergent and aperiodic. In a bid to get rid of the divergences in S_1, the long period secular terms in the R.H.S. of equation $\partial S_1/\partial t = H_1 - K_1$ may be absorbed in K_1. Unfortunately, such a scheme yields K_1 (and similarly K_n) that is a function of β_1 and β_2 as well. Clearly, if one can propose a scheme to bypass this problem to reach at the correct perturbative results for the vdPO's limit cycle, then that would be an important breakthrough. In what follows, we accomplish exactly this.

To this end, we try to see whether there exists an initial condition for which it is possible to solve the canonical equations of motion analytically. For convenience, the equations of motion are represented symbolically as

$$\dot{\alpha}_1 = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \beta_1} = f_1\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\right), \tag{10a}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_1 = +\frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha_1} = f_2\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\right), \tag{10b}$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_2 = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \beta_2} = f_3\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\right), \tag{10c}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_2 = +\frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha_2} = f_4\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\right). \tag{10d}$$

The exact expressions are given in Appendix B. Henceforth, all the equations are written upto $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^4\right)$ unless otherwise specified. Now the plan is to make use of the expressions of f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4 as explained below in order to choose an initial condition for which it is convenient to solve Eqs. (10a)-(10d).

We observe that $f_1 = f_3$ which implies if the initial condition is chosen to be such that

$$\alpha_1\left(0\right) = \alpha_2\left(0\right), \tag{11}$$

then we conclude, after subtracting Eq. (10a) from Eq. (10c),

$$\alpha_1(t) = \alpha_2(t) \ . \tag{12}$$

Using the immediately preceding relation, the four first order differential equations (10a)-(10d) reduce to the following three independent first order differential equations:

$$\dot{\alpha}_1 = g_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) = f_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) \,, \tag{13a}$$
$$\dot{\beta}_1 = g_2(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) = f_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) \,, \tag{13b}$$
$$\dot{\beta}_2 = g_4(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) = f_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) \,. \tag{13c}$$

Next, we note that if we choose the initial conditions such that

$$\beta_1(0) = -\beta_2(0) \ , \tag{14}$$

then it can be shown that

$$\beta_1(t) = -\beta_2(t) \ . \tag{15}$$

This can be proved by the change of variables $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) \to (\alpha_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ in Eqs. (13a)-(13c), where $\gamma_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\gamma_2 = \beta_1 - \beta_2$, the equations reduces to the following form

$$\dot{\gamma}_1 = h_1(\alpha_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) = h_{10}(\alpha_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \sin\gamma_1, \tag{16a}$$
$$\dot{\gamma}_2 = h_2(\alpha_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \,, \tag{16b}$$
$$\dot{\alpha}_1 = h_3(\alpha_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \,. \tag{16c}$$

Hence, $\gamma_1(0) = \beta_1(0) + \beta_2(0) = 0$ is a fixed point of the γ_1's flow. We remark here that h_{10} is finite for $\gamma_1 = 0$.

Owing to Eqs. (12) and (15), Eqs. (10a)-(10d) reduce to two unidirectionally coupled first order differential equations which are found to be

$$\dot{\alpha}_1 = \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\omega^2}\right)\varepsilon + \left(\frac{-9\alpha_1^4 + 16\alpha_1^3\omega^2 - 8\alpha_1^2\omega^4}{32\omega^8}\right)\varepsilon^3, \tag{17a}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_1 = \left(\frac{-11\alpha_1^2 + 12\alpha_1\omega^2 - 2\omega^4}{16\omega^6}\right)\varepsilon^2 + \left(-\frac{2527\alpha_1^4}{3072\omega^{12}} + \frac{5032\alpha_1^3\omega^2 - 3052\alpha_1^2\omega^4 + 528\alpha_1\omega^6 - 24\omega^8}{3072\omega^{12}}\right)\varepsilon^4. \tag{17b}$$

These two equations can, in principle, be solved by quadrature. In order to appreciate the meaning of Eqs. (17a) and (17b) in the context of the dynamics of original variables $(x, \dot{x})$ of the vdPO, we first need to write relationship between the initial coordinate values (as in Eqs. (11) and (14)) in terms of original variables $(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y})$ of the dual vdPO system. Recall that the vdPO and the auxiliary vdPO are unidirectionally coupled, i.e., the dynamics of the vdPO is not affected by the dynamics of the auxiliary vdPO. Hence, no initial condition for the auxiliary vdPO alters the dynamics of the isolated vdPO.

It is easily shown that the specific choice of initial conditions — $y(0) = 0$ and $\dot{y}(0) = 0$ — for the auxiliary vdPO corresponds to

$$X(0) = Y(0), P_X(0) = -P_Y(0) \ , \tag{18}$$

which means for $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}\right)$:

$$\alpha_1^{(0)}(0) = \alpha_2^{(0)}(0) \ , \quad \beta_1^{(0)}(0) = -\beta_2^{(0)}(0) \ . \tag{19}$$

Now, by writing the variables $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ in terms of the variables $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)}\right)$ using the inverse transformation algorithm of Lie transforms, one concludes that Eqs. (11) and (14) are satisfied when $y(0) = \dot{y}(0) = 0$. Summarizing, we have essentially exercized our freedom in choosing an arbitrary initial condition for the auxiliary vdPO, and shown that the selection of its fixed point as the preferred initial condition leads to Eqs. (17a) and (17b).

The fixed point of Eq. (17a) is

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(t) = \omega^2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32} + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^4\right) , \tag{20}$$

apart from the trivial one, $\alpha_1 = 0$. The physical meaning of the fixed points is easily understandable in the phase space of the vdPO and the auxiliary vdPO. Note that we have already chosen the full system to be at the fixed point of the auxiliary vdPO. Therefore we need to concern ourselves only with the phase space of the vdPO. Fixed point $\alpha_1 = 0$ corresponds to the fixed point (focus/spiral) at the origin of phase space for the vdPO. The more interesting fixed point is the one given by Eq. (20). This must correspond to the limit cycle in the vdPO's phase space. Recall that we are interested in the corrections to the frequency of this limit cycle due to nonlinearity. Coming back to our calculations, substituting Eq. (20) in Eq. (17b) leads to

$$\dot{\beta}_1 = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{16\omega^2} + \frac{17\varepsilon^4}{3072\omega^4} . \tag{21}$$

Now, using Eqs. (5a)-(5d) and the canonical relation $(x, y, p_x, p_y) \to (X, Y, P_X, P_Y)$, we obtain

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_1^{(0)}}}{\omega} \sin\left[\omega\left(t + \beta_1^{(0)}\right)\right] + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_2^{(0)}}}{\omega} \sin\left[\omega\left(t - \beta_2^{(0)}\right)\right] . \tag{22}$$

Using Eq. (12), Eq. (15) and Eq. (20), the analytical solution for the vdPO upto $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^3\right)$ is obtained by rewriting Eq. (22) in terms of variables $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = 2\sin B_1 &- \varepsilon\left(\frac{\cos 3B_1}{4\omega}\right) \\ &+\varepsilon^2\left(\frac{\sin B_1}{64\omega^2} + \frac{3\sin 3B_1}{32\omega^2} - \frac{5\sin 5B_1}{96\omega^2}\right) \\ &+\varepsilon^3\left(\frac{13\cos B_1}{256\omega^3} + \frac{15\cos 3B_1}{512\omega^3} - \frac{85\cos 5B_1}{2304\omega^3}\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{7\cos 7B_1}{576\omega^3}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Here, $B_1 = \omega\,(t + \beta_1)$. From the immediately preceding equation, the frequency of the limit cycle of the vdPO comes out to be

$$\dot{B}_1 = \omega\left(1 + \dot{\beta}_1\right) = \omega - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16\omega} + \frac{17\varepsilon^4}{3072\omega^3} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5) . \tag{24}$$

These frequency corrections would be exactly in conformity with the results obtained using other perturbation methods[13] like Poincaré-Lindstedt technique, multiple timescales method, equivalent linearization[34], etc.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have expanded the basket of dynamical systems described by ordinary differential equations that can be tackled using the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory. It also yields frequency corrections for the limit cycle systems accessible to it up to many higher orders in weak nonlinearity. This adaptation of the Hamiltonian perturbation theory for the dissipative systems could be effected simply because one could formulate a Hamiltonian by extending the nonconservative system. Such a (dual) Hamiltonian generally gives, in addition to the equation of motion of the original dissipative system (*possibly modified to an undesired form*), another redundant equation of motion which is said to describe an auxiliary system. Thus, at first sight, the dual Hamiltonian formalism for dissipative system appears to be restrictive.

However, situation is better for the class of nonlinear nonconservative systems for which the corresponding dual Hamiltonian yields an auxiliary system that does not supply any feedback to the original (*unmodified*) equation of motion. The vdPO happens to be such a system. In fact, as detailed in this paper, the auxiliary equation for such a system is advantageous in adapting Hamiltonian perturbation theories for this class of nonconservative systems. Since we are not interested in the dynamics of the auxiliary system ('driven'), it being unidirectionally coupled to the original system ('driver') allows us to choose any arbitrary initial conditions for it. This arbitrariness in the choice of

initial conditions has the benefit that it bypasses the (in)famous problem of small denominators to ultimately yield the correct perturbative expansions for the solutions and the frequencies (in case of limit cycle oscillations) of the nonlinear system under investigation.

Specifically, for the case of the vdPO the choice of the initial condition as the fixed point of the auxiliary vdPO leads to a bounded solution in the corresponding four-dimensional phase space. The solution reaches an invariant set that corresponds to the limit cycle in the phase space of the vdPO. In this paper, we have successfully found the correct perturbative series for the frequency of the limit cycle up to fourth order in ε. While the resulting explicit expression, Eq. (24), per se is well known, the formulation of the analytical technique *that circumvents the problem of small denominators* in order to arrive at the results is the main achievement of this paper. In fact, the herein developed formalism of the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory adapted for nonconservative systems is useful, in principle, for any weakly nonlinear system that is described by a dual Hamiltonian à la Bateman formalism. Needless to say, it means that the technique is generalizable to bring several other Liénard systems under its scope. Also, following the ideas of this paper one can develop systematic perturbation theories involving the Lie transforms of the Lagrangians[23,35] in order to handle nonconservative systems described by those Lagrangians. In passing, we remind the readers that apart from being efficient and easier procedure in comparison with the canonical perturbation theory, the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory has a numerical edge as it is much easily numerically implementable using a symbolic algebra package.

Before ending, we remark that the dual Hamiltonian formalism is interesting by itself. A dual Hamiltonian can also be seen as a phenomenological model Hamiltonian that describes the dynamics of a nonconservative system by bypassing the issue of emergence of dissipation via interaction between the system and a heat bath. Loosely speaking, the auxiliary system may model the bath to certain extent. Thus, it would be challenging to find out how to reach at a dual Hamiltonian starting from a statistical mechanical picture of a low dimensional conservative system interacting with a bath with extremely high dimensional phase space. Moreover, since we have a Hamiltonian for dissipative system, it is of interest to find what the quantization of the Liénard systems using dual Hamiltonian implies. Even, in the possible quantum mechanical picture, one can foresee the use of pertinent perturbation theories because generally the dual Hamiltonians have terms of degree greater than two.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

R.C. and S.C. are grateful to Prof. Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharjee for useful discussions. T.S. and S.C. thank Prof. S. Lakshmi Bala for her support. T.S. also acknowledges financial support from the INSPIRE scholarship given by the Department of Science and Technology, India.

Appendix A: Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory for two nonlinearly coupled oscillators

Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H(q_1,q_2,p_1,p_2)=\frac{p_1^2}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\omega_1 q_1^2+\frac{p_2^2}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\omega_2 q_2^2+\varepsilon\omega_1^2\omega_2^2 q_1^2 q_2^2. \tag{A1}$$

The above Hamiltonian describes the dynamics of two harmonic oscillators coupled by a nonlinear interaction term $\varepsilon\omega_1^2\omega_2^2 q_1^2 q_2^2$. The nonlinearity parameter ε is small and hence the dynamics can be understood by employing a perturbation theory. The Hamiltonian is initially written in terms of variables $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)},\alpha_2^{(0)},\beta_1^{(0)},\beta_2^{(0)}\right)$. A near-identity transformation is performed from variables $\left(\alpha_1^{(0)},\alpha_2^{(0)},\beta_1^{(0)},\beta_2^{(0)}\right)$ to variables $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$. Using Eq. (8b), one finds that transformed Hamiltonian $K(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,t)$ and generating function $S(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta,\beta_2,t)$ of the infinitesimal canonical transformation are related at the first order as:

$$\begin{aligned}K_1=&-\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t}+\alpha_1\alpha_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2\cos\left[2\left(t+\beta_1\right)\omega_1\right]\\&-\alpha_1\alpha_2\cos\left[2\left(t+\beta_2\right)\omega_2\right]\\&+\frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{2}\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t+2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right]\\&+\frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{2}\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1+\omega_2\right)t+2\left(\beta_1\omega_1+\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

K_1 and S_1 are obtained in a similar manner as that for the case of the vdPO, i.e., by employing the technique of averaging over the unperturbed system's time period. For ω_1 not close to ω_2, $K_1=\alpha_1\alpha_2$ and one can easily calculate

the frequency correction by solving the canonical equations of motion. Note this is possible because there is no $\beta_{1,2}$ in K_1. However, if $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$, the $\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t\right]$ containing term is relatively constant over unperturbed period. Therefore, it is a long-period term and should be included in K_1. As a result, for $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$, it make sense to take

$$K_1 = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{2}\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t + 2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right]. \tag{A3}$$

Consequently, the canonical equations of motion upto $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon\right)$ are

$$\dot{\alpha}_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega_1\sin\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t + 2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right], \tag{A4a}$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_2 = -\varepsilon\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega_2\sin\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t + 2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right], \tag{A4b}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha_2\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t + 2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right], \tag{A4c}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha_1\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t + 2\left(\beta_1\omega_1-\beta_2\omega_2\right)\right]. \tag{A4d}$$

We observe that the new Hamiltonian for the case of $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$ is no longer only a function of α_1 and α_2. Eqs. (A4a)-(A4d) are nonlinearly coupled and analytically intractable to solve even for the case of $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. This should be seen as the manifestation of the problem of small denominators. Alternatively but equivalently, while performing the Lie transform Hamiltonian perturbation theory using action-angle variables[14], the problem of small denominators in S_1 is similarly tried[12] to be avoided by including in K_1 the terms responsible for small denominators. For example, in the case of the coupled oscillators being studied, such a term is $\cos\left[2\left(\omega_1-\omega_2\right)t\right]$.

However, owing to the inability to solve Eqs. (A4a)-(A4d) analytically, the problem still lingers hinting that the aforementioned trick of redefining K_1 is not of much practical use. We remark here that for the case of vdPO, the liberty in the choice of initial conditions of the auxiliary vdPO equation helps in successfully overcoming this very type of problem. However, for the case of the coupled oscillator we cannot use our method developed for the vdPO because none of the equations of motion, Eqs. (A4a)-(A4d), can be considered as a dynamically inconsequential auxiliary equation.

Appendix B: Explicit expressions for the canonical equations of motion of the vdPO

Below we provide full explicit expressions for Eq. (10a)-Eq. (10d) as obtained using MATHEMATICA software[36].

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\alpha}_1 = f_1\left(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2\right) &= \varepsilon\left[-\frac{1}{4\omega^2}\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left\{\left(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-4\omega^2\right)\cos\left(\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+2\sqrt{\alpha_1}\sqrt{\alpha_2}\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right\}\right] \\
&+\varepsilon^2\left[-\frac{1}{64\omega^5}\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\right)\left\{22\sqrt{\alpha_1}\sqrt{\alpha_2}\cos\left(\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+11\alpha_1+11\alpha_2-24\omega^2\right\}\sin\left(\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right] \\
&+\varepsilon^3\left[-\frac{1}{2048\omega^8}\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left\{\left(9\alpha_1^3+54\alpha_1^2\alpha_2-64\omega^2\alpha_1^2+54\alpha_1\alpha_2^2-192\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^2+128\alpha_1\omega^4+9\alpha_2^3-64\alpha_2^2\omega^2+128\alpha_2\omega^4\right)\right.\right. \\
&\times\cos\left(\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(27\alpha_1^2+27\alpha_2^2-128\alpha_2\omega^2+128\omega^4+72\alpha_1\alpha_2-128\alpha_1\omega^2\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right) \\
&\left.\left.+\ 3\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(27\alpha_1+27\alpha_2-64\omega^2\right)\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+36\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left(4\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right\}\right] \\
&+\varepsilon^4\left[-\frac{1}{98304\omega^{11}}\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\right)\left\{2527\alpha_1^3+22743\alpha_1^2\alpha_2+22743\alpha_1\alpha_2^2+2527\alpha_2^3-15096\alpha_1^2\omega^2-60384\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^2\right.\right. \\
&-15096\alpha_2^2\omega^2+24416\alpha_1\omega^4+24416\alpha_2\omega^4-8448\omega^6+2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(7581\alpha_1^2+22743\alpha_1\alpha_2+7581\alpha_2^2-30192\alpha_1\omega^2-30192\alpha_2\omega^2\right. \\
&\left.+24416\omega^4\right)\ \times\cos\left(\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+6\alpha_1\alpha_2\left(2527\alpha_1+2527\alpha_2-5032\omega^2\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right) \\
&\left.\left.+5054\alpha_1\alpha_2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right\}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_1 = f_2(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2) = \varepsilon\left[\frac{1}{8\sqrt{\alpha_1}\omega^3}\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left\{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2-4\omega^2+4\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right\}\sin\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^2\left[\frac{1}{256\sqrt{\alpha_1}\omega^6}\left\{2\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left(-55\alpha_1^2+72\alpha_1\omega^2+11\alpha_2^2-24\alpha_2\omega^2\right)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left(-33\alpha_1^2-66\alpha_1\alpha_2+33\alpha_2^2+96\alpha_1\omega^2-32\omega^4-22\alpha_2\left(2\alpha_1-\alpha_2\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right)\right\}\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^3\left[\frac{1}{4096\sqrt{\alpha_1}\omega^9}\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left\{63\alpha_1^3+405\alpha_1^2\alpha_2+243\alpha_1\alpha_2^2+9\alpha_2^3-320\alpha_1^2\omega^2-768\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^2-64\alpha_2^2\omega^2+384\alpha_1\omega^4+128\alpha_2\omega^4\right.\right.$$
$$+4\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(81\alpha_1^2+162\alpha_1\alpha_2+27\alpha_2^2-256\alpha_1\omega^2-128\alpha_2\omega^2+128\omega^4\right)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)+6\alpha_1\alpha_2\left(45\alpha_1+27\alpha_2-64\omega^2\right)$$
$$\left.\left.\times\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+72\alpha_1\alpha_2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right\}\sin\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^4\left[\frac{1}{786432\sqrt{\alpha_1}\omega^{12}}\left\{4\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left(-22743\alpha_1^4+\alpha_1^3\left(-88445\alpha_2+105672\omega^2\right)+80\alpha_1^2\left(1887\alpha_2\omega^2-1526\omega^4\right)+\alpha_2\left(2527\alpha_2^3\right.\right.\right.$$
$$\left.-15096\alpha_2^2\omega^2+24416\alpha_2\omega^4-8448\omega^6\right)+3\alpha_1\left(12635\alpha_2^3-30192\alpha_2^2\omega^2+8448\omega^6\right)\Big)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)$$
$$+\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left(-12635\alpha_1^4-151620\alpha_1^3\alpha_2-151620\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2+101080\alpha_1\alpha_2^3+37905\alpha_2^4+80512\alpha_1^3\omega^2+483072\alpha_1^2\alpha_2\omega^2\right.$$
$$-161024\alpha_2^3\omega^2-146496\alpha_1^2\omega^4-292992\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^4+146496\alpha_2^2\omega^4+67584\alpha_1\omega^6-6144\omega^8+4\alpha_2\left(-30324\alpha_1^3\right.$$
$$\left.+\alpha_1^2\left(-37905\alpha_2+90576\omega^2\right)+14\alpha_1\left(1805\alpha_2^2-3488\omega^4\right)+\alpha_2\left(7581\alpha_2^2-30192\alpha_2\omega^2+24416\omega^4\right)\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)$$
$$-4\alpha_2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(17689\alpha_1^2-7581\alpha_2^2-25160\alpha_1\omega^2+15096\alpha_2\omega^2\right)\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+\left(-15162\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2+10108\alpha_1\alpha_2^3\right)$$
$$\left.\left.\times\cos\left(4\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right)\right\}\Bigg]\,. \tag{B2}$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_2 = f_3(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2) = f_1(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)\,. \tag{B3}$$

$$\dot{\beta}_2 = f_4(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2) = \varepsilon\left[\frac{1}{8\sqrt{\alpha_2}\omega^3}\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left\{3\alpha_2+\alpha_1-4\omega^2+4\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right\}\sin\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^2\left[\frac{1}{256\sqrt{\alpha_2}\omega^6}\left\{-2\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left(-55\alpha_2^2+72\alpha_2\omega^2+11\alpha_1^2-24\alpha_1\omega^2\right)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left(-33\alpha_1^2+66\alpha_1\alpha_2+33\alpha_2^2-96\alpha_2\omega^2+32\omega^4-22\alpha_1\left(\alpha_1-2\alpha_2\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right)\right\}\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^3\left[\frac{1}{4096\sqrt{\alpha_2}\omega^9}\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left\{63\alpha_2^3+405\alpha_1\alpha_2^2+243\alpha_1^2\alpha_2+9\alpha_1^3-320\alpha_2^2\omega^2-768\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^2-64\alpha_1^2\omega^2+384\alpha_2\omega^4+128\alpha_1\omega^4\right.\right.$$
$$+4\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(81\alpha_2^2+162\alpha_1\alpha_2+27\alpha_1^2-256\alpha_2\omega^2-128\alpha_1\omega^2+128\omega^4\right)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)+6\alpha_1\alpha_2\left(45\alpha_2+27\alpha_1-64\omega^2\right)$$
$$\left.\left.\times\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+72\alpha_1\alpha_2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right\}\sin\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)\right]$$
$$+\varepsilon^4\left[\frac{1}{786432\sqrt{\alpha_2}\omega^{12}}\left\{-4\sqrt{\alpha_1}\left(2527\alpha_1^4+3\alpha_1^3\left(12635\alpha_2-5032\omega^2\right)+\alpha_1^2\left(-90576\alpha_2\omega^2+24416\omega^4\right)+\alpha_1\left(-88445\alpha_2^3\right.\right.\right.$$
$$\left.+150960\alpha_2^2\omega^2-8448\omega^6\right)+\alpha_2\left(-22743\alpha_2^3+105672\alpha_2^2\omega^2-122080\alpha_2\omega^4+25344\omega^6\right)\Big)\cos\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)\,\omega\right)$$
$$+\sqrt{\alpha_2}\left(-37905\alpha_1^4-101080\alpha_1^3\alpha_2+151620\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2+151620\alpha_1\alpha_2^3+12635\alpha_2^4+161024\alpha_1^3\omega^2-483072\alpha_1\alpha_2^2\omega^2-80512\alpha_2^3\omega^2\right.$$
$$-146496\alpha_1^2\omega^4+292992\alpha_1\alpha_2\omega^4+146496\alpha_2^2\omega^4-67584\alpha_2\omega^6+6144\omega^8-4\alpha_1\left(7581\alpha_1^3+\alpha_1^2\left(25270\alpha_2-30192\omega^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-7\alpha_1\left(5415\alpha_2^2-3488\omega^4\right)-4\alpha_2\left(7581\alpha_2^2-22644\alpha_2\omega^2+12208\omega^4\right)\right)\cos\left(2\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)-4\alpha_1\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\left(7581\alpha_1^2-17689\alpha_2^2\right.$$
$$\left.\left.\left.-15096\alpha_1\omega^2+25160\alpha_2\omega^2\right)\cos\left(3\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)+\left(-10108\alpha_1^3\alpha_2+15162\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2\right)\cos\left(4\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\omega\right)\right)\right\}\Bigg]\,. \tag{B4}$$

[1] P. Caldirola, Il Nuovo Cimento **18**, 393 (1941).
[2] E. Kanai, Prog. Theor. Phys. **3**, 440 (1948).
[3] P. Guha and A. Ghose Choudhury, Acta. Appl. Math. **116**, 179 (2011).
[4] B. Bagchi, A. G. Choudhury, and P. Guha, J. Math. Phys. **56**, 012105 (2015).

[5] V. K. Chandrasekar, M. Senthilvelan, and M. Lakshmanan, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 066203 (2005).
[6] V. K. Chandrasekar, M. Senthilvelan, and M. Lakshmanan, J. Math. Phys. **48**, 032701 (2007).
[7] H. Bateman, Phys. Rev. **38**, 815 (1931).
[8] T. Shah, R. Chattopadhyay, K. Vaidya, and S. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 062927 (2015).
[9] D. W. Jordan and P. Smith, *Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations: Problems and Solutions: A Sourcebook for Scientists and Engineers (Oxford Texts in Applied and Engineering Mathematics)* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
[10] C. R. Galley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 174301 (2013).
[11] G. Hori, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan **18**, 287 (1966).
[12] J. R. Cary, Phys. Rep. **79**, 129 (1981).
[13] A. H. Nayfeh, *Perturbation Methods* (Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Berlin, 2000).
[14] J. V. José and E. J. Saletan, *Classical Dynamics: A Contemporary Approach* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
[15] G. Hori, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan **23**, 567 (1971).
[16] J. S. Choi and B. D. Tapley, Celes. Mech. **7**, 77 (1973).
[17] R. Requist, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 022117 (2012).
[18] J. Henrard, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. **3**, 107 (1970).
[19] J. Henrard and J. Roels, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. **10**, 497 (1974).
[20] K. G. Sonnad and J. R. Cary, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **169**, 012009 (2009).
[21] Y. Xu, Z. Dai, and S. Wang, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 042505 (2014).
[22] S. Wang, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 072312 (2014).
[23] J. R. Cary and R. G. Littlejohn, Ann. Phys. **151**, 1 (1983).
[24] A. Arnal, F. Casas, and C. Chiralt, Appl. Math. Comput. **273**, 398 (2016).
[25] S. Martínez-Garaot, E. Torrontegui, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053408 (2014).
[26] A. Nanayakkara, Pramana **76**, 37 (2011).
[27] A. J. Brizard, Phys. Lett. A **291**, 146 (2001).
[28] C. Löbner, S. Löck, A. Bäcker, and R. Ketzmerick, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 062901 (2013).
[29] F. Fassò and G. Benettin, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **40**, 307 (1989).
[30] F. Fassò, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **41**, 843 (1990).
[31] H. Dekker, Phys. Rep. **80**, 1 (1981).
[32] C.-I. Um, K.-H. Yeon, and T. F. George, Phys. Rep. **362**, 63 (2002).
[33] A. A. Kamel, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. **1**, 190 (1969).
[34] R. Chattopadhyay and S. Chakraborty, (2016), arXiv:1605.02978 [nlin.CD].
[35] A. A. Chan, J. Geophys. Res. A **103**, 20501 (1998).
[36] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 8.0, Champaign, IL, (2010).